



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/661,787 | 09/11/2003 | Gregory N. Henderson | TRQ-12904 | 8358 |
| 22888 759 | 90 . 02/07/2005 | • | EXAMINER | |
| BEVER HOFFMAN & HARMS, LLP | | | TRAN, PABLO N | |
| TRI-VALLEY OFFICE 1432 CONCANNON BLVD., BLDG. G LIVERMORE, CA 94550 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2685 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/07/2004 | ς . |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | 10/661,787 | HENDERSON ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Pablo N Tran | 2685 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | n appears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH betaute. cause the application to become ABAI | ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 35 from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice und | der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. | 11, 4 53 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application | ation. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | • | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a | nd/or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exa | miner | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ | | the Examiner | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co | | ` , | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for | roign priority under 25 LLC C S 4 | 10(a) (d) an (6) | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | eigh phonty under 35 U.S.C. § 1 | 19(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum | nents have been received | | | | |
| | | dingting Ala | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docum | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But | | ceived in this National Stage | | | |
| | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a | inscornie ceruneu copies not re | ceiveu. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Sun | nmary (PTO-413) | | | |
| 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE | 3/08) Paper No(s)/N Notice of Info | Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/09/04, 05/04/04.</u> | 6) Other: | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offic | ce Action Summary | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050205 | | | |

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-36 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-35 of copending Application No. 10/607,959. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both application disclosed such common subject matter of a wireless communication apparatus having an amplifier and a bias circuit coupled to the amplifier, wherein the bias circuit causes the amplifier to draw a quiescent current from a voltage source that varies proportionally with the analog voltage

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,787 Page 3

Art Unit: 2685

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sohota et al. (2004/0023620), Pehlke (6,765,443), Sohota et al. (6,615,027), and Ichihara (6,434,373) disclose bias control circuit for an amplifier in a radiotelephone communication system.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pablo Tran whose telephone number is (703)308-7941. The examiner normal hours are 9:30 -5:00 (Monday-Friday). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (703)305-4385.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2685

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

PABLO N. TRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER February 5, 2005

Avress